REFERENCE C23

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EX	AMINING-AUTHORITY				
To: C LAURA A. CORUZZI JONES DAY 222 EAST 41 ST STREET			PCT		
NEW YORK, NY 10017		WRITTEN OPINION			
	ļ	- 44	(PCT Rule 66)		
		Daid of Mailing	AA CEDONNA		
		(day/month/year	09 SEP 2004		
Applicant's or agent's file reference 7867-036-228		REPLY DUE within 2 months/days from the above date of mailing			
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/US03/41762	31 December 2003 (31.1	(2.2003)	31 December 2002 (31.12.2002)		
International Patent Classification (IPC)					
IPC(7): A61B 17/04 and US Cl.: 606/21	3				
Applicant					
MARINE POLYMER TECHNOLOGIE	S, INC.				
This written opinion is the fir	st (first, etc.) drawn by t	this International Pro	eliminary Examining Authority.		
This opinion contains indicati					
5					
I Basis of the opinion	on				
II Priority					
III Non-establishmen	t of opinion with regard to	novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability		
IV Lack of unity of in	nvention				
VI Certain documents	s cited				
VII Certain defects in	the international application	on	€		
VIII Certain observatio	ons on the international app	pircation			
3. The applicant is hereby invite	• •		at a standard management		
	imit indicated above. The to grant an extension. See		ore the expiration of that time limit, request		
How? By submitting					
Also For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6					
If no reply is filed, the intern	If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.				
4. The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 30 April 2005 (30.04.2005) 1.					
$A \cup A \cup A$			Sharon V. Drew for		
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents		Gary Jackson	sharme of the		
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450		Telephone No. (7)	'03) 308_0858		

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230
Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet)(July 1998)



WRITTEN OPINION

nternational	annligation	NI.
THEITIAMORIAL	appucation	146

PCT/US03/41762

1.	Basi	is of the opinion		
1.	With	regard to the elements of the international application:*		
	\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed		
	\boxtimes	the description:		
		pages 1-56, as originally filed		
		pages NONE , filed with the demand		
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of		
	\boxtimes	the claims:		
		pages 57-63, as originally filed		
		pages NONE , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19 pages NONE , filed with the demand		
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of		
	\triangle	the drawings:		
		pages 1, as originally filed pages NONE, filed with the demand		
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of		
		the sequence listing part of the description:		
	ш	pages NONE , as originally filed		
		pages NONE , filed with the demand		
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of		
	langi	regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the large in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. e elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:		
	П	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).		
	П	the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).		
	Ħ	the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination(under Rules		
	لــــا	55.2 and/or 55.3).		
		regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written on was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing:		
		contained in the international application in printed form.		
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.		
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.		
•		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.		
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.		
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing		
		has been furnished.		
4.	M	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
		the description, pages NONE		
		the claims, Nos. None		
,		the drawings, sheets /fig NONE		
5. (This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).		
* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in				
	-	on as "originally filed."		

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Box I) (July 1998)



WRITTEN OPINION

International application No. PCT/US03/41762

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1. STATEMENT					
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-41	YES			
	Claims NONB	NO			
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims NONE	YES			
	Claims 1-41	NO			
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 1-41	YES			
	Claims NONE	NO			
vein by applying a vasoconstrictor to the skin over the blood flow in a rapid period of time. Cochrum et al d in comparison to a topical barrier without the vasoconsince Cochrum et al utilize substantially the same met vasoconstrictor to reduce blood flow in a relative show method steps recited in the claims above. NEW CITATIONS	oes not mention the percent of time that the strictor. It would have been obvious to ach hod as recited. The patent to Kipshidze et at period of time. The Cochrum et al and K	e cessation of blood flow is achieved nieve the same results as claimed al also suggests the use of a			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
orm PCT/IPEA/408 (Box V) (July 1998)					



International application No. PCT/US03/41762

Supplemental Box					
(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)					
TIME LIMIT: The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report.					
	on the second of the second				
	•				

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Supplemental Box) (July 1998)